

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	о.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,849		08/29/2000	Alicia Anne Chastain	RSW9-2000-0065US1	9889
25259	7590	04/21/2004		EXAMI	NER
IBM CO	RPORAT	ION	FLYNN, KIMBERLY D		
	RNWALLI: 81 / B503, F	S RD. PO BOX 12195	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		NGLE PARK, N	2153	1 1	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	\mathcal{H}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/650,849	CHASTAIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimberly D Flynn	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 February 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-21,23,24,26 and 27</u> is/are objected to.	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,9,11-21,23,24,26 and 27 is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to see the second secon	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(/08) 5) \(\bigcup \) Notice of 6) \(\bigcup \) Other: \(\bigcup \)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/650,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

This application is in response to a request for continued examination filed February 5,
 Claims 1-27 are presented for further consideration.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-21, 23-24, and 26-27 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 7, 13-14, 17-18, 21-26, 28, 34-35, and 38-39 of copending Application No. 09/649,946. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed the co-pending application.
- 4. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The subject matter of the instant application is covered by the co-pending application since they are both claiming common subject matter as follows:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/650,849

Art Unit: 2153

The distinctions would have been obvious	
because the claimed subject matter is functionally	
equivalent.	
Claims 3 and 18: The method wherein the	Claims 3 and 24: The method wherein the
processing step is initiated in response to another	processing step is initiated in response to
user input approving use of the modified rule.	another user input approving use of the rule.
The distinctions would have been obvious	
because the claimed subject matter is functionally	
equivalent.	
Claims 4 and 19: The method wherein the criteria	Claims 4 and 25: The method wherein the
includes priority-based common fields and	criteria includes priority-based common fields
common content.	and common content.
No Distinction	
Claim 5 and 20: The method wherein the folder is	Claim 5 and 26: The method wherein the folder
a trash folder.	is a trash folder.
No Distinction	
Claims 6 and 21: The method wherein the	Claims 7 and 28: The method wherein the
characteristics includes at least one of a sender	criteria includes at least one of a sender address,
address, a selected word, in a subject line body of	a selected word, in a subject line body of the
the electronic message, a select word in a body of	electronic message, a select word in a body of
the electronic message, and an attribute of the	the electronic message, and an attribute of the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/650,849

Art Unit: 2153

time/date field of the electronic message.	time/date field of the electronic message.
The distinctions would have been obvious	
because the claimed subject matter is functionally	
equivalent.	
Claims 9 and 24: The method wherein the	Claims 14 and 35: The method wherein the
manipulation is a deletion of the electronic	action is a deletion of the electronic message.
message.	
The distinctions would have been obvious	
because the claimed subject matter is functionally	
equivalent.	
Claim 11: A data processing system comprising:	Claim 17: A data processing system
a bus system;	comprising:
a communications unit connected to the bus	a bus system;
system;	a communications unit connected to the bus
a memory connected to the bus system, wherein	system;
the processing unit executes the set of instructions	a memory connected to the bus system, wherein
to detect a manipulation of an electronic message	the processing unit executes the set of
in which a rule is associated with the manipulation,	instructions to identify an action on an electronic
determine whether the electronic message matches	message, identify characteristics of the
the rule, identify characteristics of the electronic	electronic message using a criteria to form a
message if a match between the rule and the	comparison, and automatically generate a rule to
electronic message is absent, and automatically	process electronic messages including the action

Application/Control Number: 09/650,849

Art Unit: 2153

modify the rule using the characteristics.	and a selected characteristic identified in the
The distinctions would have been obvious	comparison.
because the steps of automatically generating a	
rule to process electronic messages and	
automatically modifying rules to process	
electronic messages are functionally equivalent.	
Claim 12: The data processing system wherein the	Claim 18: The data processing system wherein
bus system is a single bus.	the bus system is a single bus.
No Distinction	
Claim 13: The data processing system wherein the	Claim 19: The data processing system wherein
bus system includes a primary bus and a secondary	the bus system includes a primary bus and a
bus.	secondary bus.
No Distinction	
Claim 14: The data processing system wherein the	Claim 20: The data processing system wherein
processing unit includes a plurality of processors.	the processing unit includes a plurality of
No Distinction	processors.
Claim 15: The data processing system wherein the	Claim 21: The data processing system wherein
communications unit is one of a modem and	the communications unit is one of a modem and
Ethernet adapter.	Ethernet adapter.
No Distinction	

Application/Control Number: 09/650,849

Art Unit: 2153

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7, 10, 22, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D Flynn whose telephone number is 703-308-7609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimberly D Flynn Examiner

Art Unit 2153

KDF

SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TEGUNOLOGY CONTER 2100